

**TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2003**  
**SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2003 - SEPTEMBER 2003)**

**Data Prepared by**  
**Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division**  
**Michigan Family Independence Agency**

**February 2004**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 02-03 (i.e., April 2003 through September 2003) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 604 new participants entered the program, with 11.6% of the participants being referred to the program by their local FIA offices.
- In terms of race/ethnicity,
  - 65.1% of the participants were African American.
  - 25.6% of the participants were white.
  - 4.3% of the participants were Hispanic.
  - 1.5% of the participants were Native American.
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 9.4% of the recent participants.
- The average age of this group of participants was 18.23 years.
- 97.0% of the participants were single.
- 54.6% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant and parenting) upon entering the program, with 96.3% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 53.5% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant and parenting), with 84.8% of them parenting one child, 14.2% parenting two children, 0.3% parenting three children, and 0.6% parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
  - 42.8% of the participants were enrolled in school.
  - 4.4% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
  - 3.7% of the participants were GED holders.
  - 17.1% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 13.2% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 28 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.14.
- 32.6% of the participants were not involved in education or employment activities at the time they entered the program.

# TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

## Fiscal Year 2003

### Six Month Update

#### April 2003 - September 2003

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 02-03. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the latter **six** months of fiscal year 2003, namely, April 2003 through September 2003.

The program continues to operate via contract with twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo<sup>1</sup>, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

## PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

**Table 1** presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2002, and September 30, 2003. During this six-month period, 604 new participants entered the program.

**Table 1**  
**NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS**

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY03 YTD <sup>2</sup>	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	90	95	107	115	93	104	604	1,259	1,416

<sup>1</sup> The program associated with Kalamazoo County began enrolling participants November 2001.

<sup>2</sup> In addition to these 1,259 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2003, there were 1,014 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2002, and remained open as of the start of FY02-03). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2002).

**Table 2** identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Family Independence Agency (FIA) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 11.6% (70) of the referrals during this six month period were from the FIA. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 42.0% (253) of the referrals, (b) community agencies, which accounted for 15.3% (92) of the referrals, and (c) community health, which accounted for 12.6% (76) of the referrals. The remaining 18.6% of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, mental health agencies, and schools.

**Table 2**  
**REFERRAL SOURCE**

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
FIA	11	9	9	13	11	17	70 (11.6%)	167 (13.3%)	234 (16.5%)
Health Care Provider	6	10	10	14	15	7	62 (10.3%)	134 (10.7%)	124 (8.8%)
Public/Community Health	14	9	14	16	12	11	76 (12.6%)	141 (11.2%)	166 (11.7%)
Community Agency	9	16	15	19	14	19	92 (15.3%)	170 (13.5%)	196 (13.9%)
Mental Health	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)
School	8	6	6	6	5	18	49 (8.1%)	131 (10.4%)	207 (14.6%)
Other <sup>3</sup>	42	45	53	46	36	31	253 (42.0%)	512 (40.7%)	484 (34.2%)
TOTALS	90	95	107	114	93	104	603 (100.0%) <sup>4</sup>	1,258 (100.0%)	1,414 (100.0%)
Missing <sup>5</sup>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2

<sup>3</sup> "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner, another program participant, was a former program participant, co-worker, social worker, the TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, probation officer), "Healthy Babies", Don Bosco Agency, Employment Training Connections, 211 Non-Emergency number, yellow pages, flyer, brochure, etc.

<sup>4</sup> In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

<sup>5</sup> Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

## PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 3** presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2003. Accordingly, 65.1% (392) of the individuals were African American, 25.6% (154) were white, 4.3% (26) were Hispanic, 1.5% (9) were Native American, and 0.3% (2) were Asian. The “other” responses served to identify nineteen individuals as multi-racial.

**Table 3**  
**RACE/ETHNICITY**

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
White	29	27	26	26	23	23	154 (25.6%)	334 (26.6%)	390 (27.6%)
African American	52	59	75	75	64	67	392 (65.1%)	820 (65.3%)	915 (64.7%)
Native American	1	1	2	3	2	0	9 (1.5%)	13 (1.0%)	14 (1.0%)
Hispanic	6	4	4	5	3	4	26 (4.3%)	62 (4.9%)	67 (4.7%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	1	1	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.2%)	0
Other	2	4	0	5	0	8	19 (3.2%)	25 (2.0%)	28 (2.0%)
TOTALS	90	95	107	114	93	103	602 (100.0%)	1,256 (100.0%)	1,414 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	2

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the **latter** six months of fiscal year 2003. Accordingly, 90.6% (547) of the individuals were female, and 9.4% (57) were male.

**Table 4**  
**GENDER**

	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
GENDER	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Female	80	88	91	105	83	100	547 (90.6%)	1,148 (91.2%)	1,294 (91.4%)
Male	10	7	16	10	10	4	57 (9.4%)	111 (8.8%)	122 (8.6%)
TOTALS	90	95	107	115	93	104	604 (100.0%)	1,259 (100.0%)	1,416 (100.0%)

**Table 5** displays the age distribution of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2003, with the overall average age being 18.23 years. For those participants entering the program during the months of April, May, and June 2003, age was calculated as of June 30, 2003, with the average age being 18.28 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of July, August and September 2003, age was calculated as of September 30, 2003, with the average age being 18.18 years.

**Table 5**  
**AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS**

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	2 (0.1%)
Thirteen	0	0	0	3	2	0	5 (0.9%)	9 (0.7%)	11 (0.8%)
Fourteen	1	4	3	1	1	1	11 (1.9%)	31 (2.5%)	39 (2.8%)
Fifteen	3	5	8	6	8	8	38 (6.0%)	87 (7.1%)	100 (7.2%)
Sixteen	17	21	11	15	16	12	92 (15.7%)	175 (14.3%)	204 (14.7%)
Seventeen	22	15	16	20	16	26	115 (19.7%)	270 (22.1%)	323 (23.3%)
Eighteen	16	13	28	33	19	23	132 (22.6%)	259 (21.2%)	287 (20.3%)
Nineteen	12	19	16	18	14	21	100 (17.1%)	195 (15.9%)	242 (17.4%)
Twenty	14	15	8	10	10	8	65 (11.1%)	139 (11.4%)	134 (9.7%)
Twenty-one and older	4	2	6	7	4	4	27 (4.6%)	58 (4.7%)	45 (3.2%)
TOTALS	89	94	96	113	90	103	585 (100.0%)	1,224 (100.0%)	1,387 (100.0%)
Missing	1	1	11	2	3	1	19	35	29

**Table 6** displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 18.07 years old, and the average male participant was 19.93 years old.

**Table 6**  
**AGE BY GENDER<sup>6</sup>**

AGE BY GENDER	LATTERSIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 03				FY03 % YTD (N)	FY02 % (Total) (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	97.3	93.0	88.3	91.5 (535)	91.7 (1,123)	92.0 (1,276)
Male	2.7	7.0	11.7	8.5 (50)	8.3 (101)	8.0 (111)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (146)	100.0 (115)	100.0 (324)	100.0 (585)	100.0 (1,224)	100.0 (1,387)

---

<sup>6</sup>For the latter six months of FY03, there were nineteen cases for whom information about age was missing, bringing the fiscal year to date total of such cases to thirty-five. Meanwhile, for FY02, there were twenty-nine cases for whom information about age was missing.



**Table 7** displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.0% (585) were single and 2.5% (15) were married. In addition, two participants (0.3%) was divorced, and one participant (0.2%) was engaged.

Of the fifteen individuals who were married, seven were white, five were African American, two were Native American, and one was Hispanic. In terms of age, one was sixteen years old or younger, two were seventeen years old, and eleven were eighteen years old or older (with one case missing information on age). In terms of gender, eleven were female and four were male.

**Table 7**  
**MARITAL STATUS**

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Single	88	95	102	107	89	104	585 (97.0%)	1,218 (97.0%)	1,361 (96.2%)
Married	1	0	4	7	3	0	15 (2.5%)	34 (2.7%)	51 (3.6%)
Divorced	1	0	0	1	0	0	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.2%)	2 (0.1%)
Other	0	0	0	0	1	0	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)
TOTALS	90	95	106	115	93	104	603 (100.0%)	1,256 (100.0%)	1,415 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1

### PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

**Table 8** reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 46.5% (281) were pregnant, 45.4% (274) were parenting, and 8.1% (49) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

**Table 8**  
**PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS**

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Pregnant	39	60	48	48	46	40	281 (46.5%)	573 (45.5%)	609 (43.1%)
Parenting	43	29	52	60	40	50	274 (45.4%)	591 (46.9%)	673 (47.9%)
Pregnant and Parenting	8	6	7	7	7	14	49 (8.1%)	95 (7.5%)	132 (9.3%)
TOTALS	90	96	107	115	93	104	604 (100.0%)	1,259 (100.0%)	1,414 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 96.3% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

**Table 8A**  
**PRENATAL CARE**

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	44	63	52	50	51	51	311 (96.3%)	623 (95.3%)	698 (95.2%)
No	3	2	1	1	2	3	12 (3.7%)	31 (4.7%)	35 (4.8%)
TOTALS	47	65	53	51	53	54	323 (100.0%)	654 (100.0%)	733 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	2	4	0	0	7	14	8

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further broken down in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 72.8% (268) were one year or younger, 13.9% (51) were two years old, 8.4% (31) were three years old, 4.1% (15) were four years old, and 0.8% (3) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 85.4% (229) of those parenting had one child, 13.4% (36) had two children, 0.4% (1) had three children and 0.7% (2) had four children.

**Table 8B**  
**OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	33	25	44	49	35	43	229 (85.4%)	485 (83.3%)	551 (82.4%)
Two	9	4	3	9	4	7	36 (13.4%)	86 (14.8%)	102 (15.2%)
Three	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.4%)	8 (1.4%)	15 (2.2%)
Four	0	0	0	2	0	0	2 (0.7%)	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.1%)
Five	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	0
TOTALS	43	29	47	60	39	50	268 (100.0%)	582 (100.0%)	669 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	5	0	1	0	6	9	4

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 81.3% (39) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, and 18.8% (9) had two children.

**Table 8C**  
**OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	7	6	6	5	6	9	39 (81.3%)	75 (79.8%)	110 (83.3%)
Two	1	0	0	2	1	5	9 (18.8%)	18 (19.1%)	17 (12.9%)
Three	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1.1%)	5 (3.8%)
TOTALS	8	6	6	7	7	14	48 (100.0%)	94 (100.0%)	132 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0

## **PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

**Tables 9 and 10** reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

### **A. School**

The 254 individuals (42.8%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirteen individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Two individuals had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen teens had a high school diploma.
- Twenty-nine teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.8.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.39 years, with 40.6% being sixteen years old or younger, 27.3% being seventeen years old, and 32.1% being eighteen years old or older.

The 340 individuals (57.2%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Eighty-eight teens had a high school diploma.
- Twenty participants had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen individuals were in GED training.
- Forty-nine teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.5.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.88 years, with 12.5% being sixteen years old or younger, 14.0% being seventeen years old, and 73.5% being eighteen years old or older.

Of the ten cases for whom information about school enrollment was missing, all ten were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment.

### **B. GED Training**

Of the twenty-six individuals in GED training, thirteen were also in school and two were working. In terms of age, 8.0% were sixteen years old or younger, 28.0% were seventeen years old, and 64.0% were eighteen years old or older.

### **C. GED Certificate**

Twenty-two individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, two of whom were continuing their education and five of whom were working. Note: one individual was also identified as having earned a high school diploma.

### **D. High School Diploma**

The 101 individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirteen teens were continuing their education.
- Thirty teens were working.
- One teen also had a GED certificate.

The 491 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 241 teens were enrolled in school.
- Twenty-six teens were in GED training (including thirteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twenty-one teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate (two of whom were continuing their education).
- Forty-eight individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

---

For 197 individuals, or 32.6% of those who entered the program during the second six months of fiscal year 2003, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 19.9% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 19.4% were seventeen years old, and 60.7% were eighteen years old or older.

---

**Table 9**  
**EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE**

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
A. Was the participant in school at intake?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	39	39	38	39	43	56	254 (42.8%)	572 (46.3%)	658 (47.4%)
No	51	55	67	71	50	46	340 (57.2%)	663 (53.7%)	729 (52.6%)
TOTALS (Missing)	90	94 (1)	105 (2)	110 (5)	93	102 (2)	594 (10) (100.0%)	1,235 (24) (100.0%)	1,387(29) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	2	7	2	5	4	6	26 (4.4%)	62 (5.0%)	65 (4.7%)
No	88	87	103	105	89	96	568 (95.6%)	1173 (95.0%)	1324 (95.3%)
TOTALS (Missing)	90	94 (1)	105 (2)	110 (5)	93	102 (2)	594 (10) (100.0%)	1,235 (24) (100.0%)	1,389 (27) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	4	1	6	4	4	3	22 (3.7%)	51 (4.1%)	5729 (4.1%)
No	86	93	99	106	89	99	572 (96.3%)	1187 (95.9%)	1335 (95.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	90	94 (1)	105 (2)	110 (5)	93	102 (2)	594 (10) (100.0%)	1,238 (21) (100.0%)	1,392 (24) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	13	16	20	17	16	19	101 (17.1%)	179 (14.5%)	191 (13.7%)
No	77	78	83	93	77	83	491 (82.9%)	1057 (85.5%)	1201 (86.3%)
TOTALS (Missing)	90	94 (1)	103 (4)	110 (5)	93	102 (2)	592 (12) (100.0%)	1,236 (23) (100.0%)	1,392 (24) (100.0%)

**Table 10** indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 13.2% (78) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 86.8% (512) of the individuals were unemployed.

**Table 10**  
**EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	11	13	14	18	12	10	78 (13.2%)	170 (13.8%)	232 (16.7%)
No	79	81	88	92	81	91	512 (86.8%)	1,061 (86.2%)	1,157 (83.3%)
TOTALS	90	94	102	110	93	101	590 (100.0%)	1,231 (100.0%)	1,389 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	5	5	0	3	14	28	27

For the seventy-eight teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 28.0 and the average hourly wage was \$6.14. In addition, the average age of those employed was 19.00 years. Furthermore,

- Sixty-five (83.3%) of those employed were females, representing 11.9% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, thirteen (16.7%) of those employed were male, representing 22.8% of the males entering the program.
- Thirty individuals had a high school diploma (eight of whom were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate (including one individual who was also continuing his/her education).
- Two teens were in GED training.
- Twenty-nine individuals were in school (one of whom had a GED, and eight of whom had a diploma).
- Twenty-one teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 512 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 223 were enrolled in school (including twelve who were also in GED training, five who had a high school diploma, and one who had a GED certificate).
- Twenty-three teens were in GED training (twelve of whom were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Seventy-one individuals had a high school diploma (five of whom were also continuing their education, and one of whom also had a GED).
- Seventeen teens had a GED certificate (including one who was also identified as continuing his/her education, and one who also had a diploma).



## PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Table 11**, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 52.6% of the individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of FY03 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 14.9% living with other relative(s), and 7.7% living independently. The remaining 24.8% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

**Table 12**, on page 19, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 78.8% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 52.6% of those aged seventeen and 40.4% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 95.9% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 77.2% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included living with: friend(s), partner's family's home without partner, parents and partner, grandmother and partner, temporarily with foster care worker, former high school teacher, transitional living program, residential program at Catholic Social Services, fictive kin, etc.

**Table 11**  
**LIVING ARRANGEMENT**

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
w/Parents	41	53	56	63	52	50	315 (52.6%)	648 (51.8%)	756 (53.7%)
w/Guardian	2	1	4	6	1	4	18 (3.0%)	44 (3.5%)	58 (4.1%)
w/Other relative	18	9	12	15	12	23	89 (14.9%)	171 (13.7%)	156 (11.1%)
w/Partner	4	10	4	1	4	8	31 (5.2%)	79 (6.3%)	102 (7.2%)
w/Spouse	1	0	2	5	3	0	11 (1.8%)	23 (1.8%)	24 (1.7%)
Formal placement	1	1	3	6	3	4	18 (3.0%)	45 (3.6%)	33 (2.3%)
Independently	6	10	9	8	7	6	46 (7.7%)	90 (7.2%)	131 (9.3%)
Homeless	4	2	2	0	4	3	15 (2.5%)	29 (2.3%)	35 (2.5%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	6	5	6	5	3	2	27 (4.5%)	57 (4.6%)	56 (4.0%)
Other	7	4	5	5	4	4	29 (4.8%)	66 (5.3%)	58 (4.1%)
TOTALS	90	95	103	114	93	104	599 (100.0%)	1,252 (100.0%)	1,409 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	7	7

**Table 12**  
**AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT<sup>7</sup>**

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	LATTER SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 03				FY03 YTD % (N)	FY02 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	78.8	52.6	40.4	52.4 (306)	51.8 (633)	53.6 (739)
w/Guardian	4.8	4.4	1.5	2.9 (17)	3.4 (42)	4.1 (56)
w/Other relative	8.2	14.0	18.2	14.9 (87)	13.7 (168)	11.2 (154)
w/Partner	1.4	7.0	6.5	5.3 (31)	6.2 (76)	7.1 (98)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.9	2.8	1.7 (10)	1.8 (22)	1.7 (24)
Formal placement	4.1	5.3	1.5	2.9 (17)	3.6 (44)	2.4 (33)
Independently	0.0	1.8	13.6	7.9 (46)	7.3 (89)	9.4 (130)
Homeless	0.7	4.4	2.8	2.6 (15)	2.4 (29)	2.5 (34)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	0.0	5.3	6.2	4.5 (26)	4.4 (54)	4.0 (55)
Other	2.1	4.4	6.5	5.0 (29)	5.3 (65)	4.1 (57)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (146)	100.0 (114)	100.0 (324)	100.0 (584)	100.0 (1,222)	100.0 (1,380)

<sup>7</sup> For the latter six months of fiscal year 2002-2003, there were twenty individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the fiscal year-to-date total of such cases to thirty-seven. NOTE: For FY 01-02, there were thirty-six individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.